

OIS Registry

82-3911

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

DD/A Registry

82-0925/15

3 SEP 1982

Mr. Steven Garfinkel  
 Director, Information Security  
 Oversight Office (Z)  
 General Services Administration  
 18th & F Streets, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20405

Dear Mr. Garfinkel:

Forwarded herewith is our Agency Information Security Program Data (SF 311) report covering the period 1 October 1981 through 31 July 1982.

As was done last year, the statistics on classification decisions in sections 7A-C of the report were obtained from an actual count over a seven-day period (17-23 July) and projected to cover the reporting period.

Sincerely,

Harry E. Fitzwater  
 Deputy Director  
 for  
 Administration

Enclosure

STAT DA/OIS/RMD/RSB/ :kw (30 August 1982)

Distribution:

- Original - Addressee ~~w/enc~~
- 1 - DDA Subject ~~w/enc~~
- 1 - DDA Chrono ~~w/o~~
- 1 - D/OIS Subject ~~w/enc~~
- 1 - D/OIS Chrono ~~w/o~~
- 1 - RSB Subject: Reports to ISOO
- 1 - RSB Chrono

**Page Denied**

Continuation Sheet of SF 311

13. Narrative Report

A. DECLASSIFICATION. Describe actions to declassify information under the systematic review procedures of the Order. Include discussion of problem areas and give estimated date for transition to systematic review as it reaches its 20th anniversary of origin.

The Classification Review Division (CRD) of the Office of Information Services is responsible for the systematic review of Agency permanent records over 20 years old. It is composed of officers from throughout the Agency whose background and experience qualify them to make the necessary classification judgments. Coordination with originating or responsible components is available on those infrequent occasions when it is necessary. During the reporting period, CRD production decreased by 8% due to a slight reduction in personnel and an increase in other Agency review responsibilities. The review procedures CRD negotiated with other agencies for three groups of interdepartmental records have been used as a basis for further agreements on review of other interagency material. CRD has also explored various methods of reviewing records stored in non-paper form (film, microforms, ADP media, etc.). Shortage of qualified personnel and limited secure vault and storage space for processing classified material remain as problems.

B. TRAINING. Describe all major actions relating to information security education and training, including special efforts toward limiting the amount, level, and duration of classified material generated, and increasing public access to information declassified.

During this reporting period, the Office of Training and Education enhanced its program of instruction to familiarize Agency personnel at all grade levels with the requirements of Executive Order 12065 and the Agency Information Security Program Handbook. Our records management courses also address the entire life cycle of records to include creation, use, maintenance, and destruction of Agency records.

In addition, the Security Education Group (SEG) of the Office of Security (OS) addresses a wide variety of audiences in performance of its mission. The training provided by SEG includes security indoctrination for all new employees and specifically tailored security reindoctrinations for members of particular offices in every directorate. Regardless of the type of audience, the employees' responsibility with respect to classified information is emphasized. In each forum, the opportunity exists to raise questions about individual and institutional responsibilities to properly classify, declassify and safeguard national security information.

The National Archives and Records Service (NARS) has already accessioned some Agency records, and others are under consideration. NARS and the Presidential Libraries have been given printouts of a record group of finished intelligence from CRD's ADP system indicating the review decisions that were taken. Most of the material was declassified. This enabled NARS and Presidential Library personnel to take the indicated action on their own copies, thus making the information available to the public. In addition, we continue to periodically schedule teams of review officers to visit NARS and the Presidential Libraries to review material of CIA interest found in records of other agencies. Some of this material is declassified and becomes available to the public.

C. SAFEGUARDS. Describe actions to enhance safeguards, to include control of reproduction, reduction of classified holdings, and improved methods of destruction.

The Physical Security Division of OS enforces the safeguards required by Executive Order 12065 and the implementing directives through a program of physical security surveys, inspections, and audits. There are approximately 750 separate elements which are subject to this program. These elements include Agency Headquarters components, domestic facilities, foreign facilities, and contractor facilities. This program is administered through the use of approximately 24 professional officers with appropriate supervision. The program centers around establishing physical security program standards and procedural standards and the enforcement of these standards through surveys, inspections, and audits.

D. BALANCING TEST. Describe extent of use of the balancing test (E.O. 12065's, Section 3-303) and impact on Agency mission.

The balancing test, in the context of litigation to which this Agency is a party, imposes no significant burden.

E. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT. Describe major problems encountered in implementing E.O. 12065 and ISOO directives.

The Agency's physical security program requires a substantial dedication of manpower. However, because of the nature of the Agency mission, the sensitivity of the information with which the Agency deals, and our charter to protect intelligence sources and methods, the implementation of Executive Order 12065 has not required a measure of physical protection over and above that already in existence.

Our most significant problem with implementation of E.O. 12065 continues to be the potential damage to the national security posed by the declassification and release of intelligence records, many of which by themselves may seem harmless but when considered in the aggregate could cause significant harm.